

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problem Mailbox.**

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt  
European Patent Office  
Office européen des brevets



(11) Publication number:

**0 627 226 A1**

(12)

## EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(21) Application number: **94108354.5**

(51) Int. Cl.<sup>5</sup>: **A61L 31/00**

(22) Date of filing: **31.05.94**

(30) Priority: **04.06.93 IT MI931176**

(43) Date of publication of application:  
**07.12.94 Bulletin 94/49**

(94) Designated Contracting States:  
**AT BE CH DE DK ES FR GB GR IE IT LI LU MC  
NL PT SE**

(71) Applicant: **ISTITUTO NAZIONALE PER LO  
STUDIO E LA CURA DEI TUMORI**  
**Via Venezian, 1**  
**I-20133 Milano (IT)**

(72) Inventor: **Severini, Aldo**  
**Via Venezian, 1**  
**I-20133 Milano (IT)**

(74) Representative: **Minoja, Fabrizio**  
**Studio Consulenza Brevettuale,**  
**Via Rossini, 8**  
**I-20122 Milano (IT)**

(54) **Coated stent.**

(57) A coated stent, particularly a biocompatible polymeric material coated stent, and a coating process are herein described.

**EP 0 627 226 A1**

The present invention relates to a coated stent, in particular to a biocompatible polymer-coated stent and to a process for the manufacturing thereof.

Stenosis resolution of cylindrically-shaped hollow biological structures, such as circulatory system vessels, esophagus, bile ducts, intestine, urinary tracts and respiratory tract, at present have several possibilities offered by surgery, endoscopy, radiology.

Sometimes the pathological entity does not allow surgical practice, in this case endoscopic operation or radiologic intervention are practised for palliative and/or curative purpose.

The use of stents, namely expandable devices which have the purpose to maintain the stenotic lumen patent, is a technique always in progress (K.C. Wright et al.; Radiology, 156:69-72, 1985; J.C. Palmaz et al.; ibid., 164:705-708, 1987; G.K. McLean et al., ibid., 170:961-967, 1989).

Present uses of stents refer to the treatment of stenosis of bile ducts, arterial vessels, esophagus, urinary tracts and respiratory tract. Stents are also used in repair of aneurysms.

The mesh structure of stents, whilst on one hand allows their percutaneous application through catheters and ensures the mechanical characteristics that maintain the lumen patency, on the other hand puts some problems according to specific cases. In the event of a stent implant in a blood vessels, stent structure may perturb haemodynamic, therefore increasing the risk of thrombus formation. If the stenosis is caused by neoplastic proliferation, restenosis may occur after stent implant because of tumour cell infiltration through the meshes of the stent itself (Severini, Cozzi, Bellomi, Cigada, Tanzi; Biomateriali, 3/4 (1990) 79-84).

In most cases, stents are made of metallic materials, particularly stainless steel, titanium, or shape-memory alloys (Ni-Ti alloys). Said materials meet the structural and mechanical requirements, but involve some problems of biocompatibility and allergy, particularly when they come in contact with blood.

EP 0 480 667, in the name of Cook Inc., discloses a self-expanding percutaneous stent (Gianturco stent) covered by a flexible sleeve which is open at both ends. The flexible sleeve is welded or pinched at the ends of the stent. In the same reference, the possibility to coat the stent with plastic material is mentioned, but no specific teaching about the material nor the coating technique is provided.

Song et al., Radiology, 1991, 180:349-354, describe a Gianturco stent wrapped with a nylon mesh coated by silicon rubber, for the palliative treatment of esophagogastric neoplasm obstructions.

The stent obtained by Song et al. involves two kinds of still unsolved problems: the difficult retrieval of the introducer sheath, due to the friction between stent coating (silicon rubber) and the sheath itself, and the anchorage of the stent to the esophagus mucosa, where the stent may be moved from by mechanical stresses, due to peristalsis.

Alvarado, Palmaz, et al. (Radiology, 1989, 170:975-978) describe polymer-coated balloon expandable stents and their application in bile ducts. The polymers used therein are silicon rubber and polyether urethane.

To date there is still the need to provide coated stents with improved mechanical and biocompatibility characteristics.

It has now been found that coating a stent with a thermoplastic polycarbonate urethane, prosthesis having excellent biomechanical characteristics for the treatment of stenosis and aneurysms are obtained. In particular, the inner surface of the polymer-coated stent is totally smooth, whilst the outer surface perfectly fits to the stent mesh structure. In this manner the so obtained stent presents the advantage of a smooth lumen surface, therefore a better fluid hydrodynamic, together with a structure having improved biomechanical characteristics. At the same time, the copolymer outer surface perfectly follows the development of the stent structure thus allowing an optimal interaction of the prosthesis with the lumen mucosa and the consequent non migration of the prosthesis itself from the implant site.

Several kind of biocompatible polymers are well-known, for example polyethyleneterephthalate, polytetrafluoroethylene (Teflon), polymethacrylates and various types of block copolymers belonging to the class of polyurethanes.

Polyether urethanes are known as suitable materials for implantable prosthesis, but have proved to be not very resistant to the attack by the biological environment where they are implanted (Pinchuck et al., 17<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Society for Biomaterials, 1991, Scottsdale, AZ, USA).

Therefore, it is an object of the present invention a polycarbonate urethane-coated stent and the coating process thereof.

The particular type of stent to be used in the present invention is not critical. Stents which are well-known to the man skilled in the art can be used, both self-expandable, and balloon-expandable, such as Palmaz, Palmaz-Schatz, Gianturco, Gianturco-Roubin, Gianturco-Rosch, Strecker and memory-shape stents.

A preferred embodiment of the present invention provides the use of a coated Gianturco-Rosch stent and the variations thereof.

The biocompatible copolymer to be used according to the present invention is a polycarbonate urethane of the type disclosed in US 5.133.742 (Pinchuck) and EP 0 461 375 (Corvita Corp.) and is marketed with the trade name Corethane<sup>(R)</sup>.

According to the present invention, stent coating must take in consideration the desired final characteristics of the prosthesis and its use.

The stent may be coated whether with a single copolymer layer or with more copolymer layers.

A further object of the present invention is a process for coating a stent, said process comprising the steps of:

- a) positioning the stent in its expanded configuration on a horizontal rotating bearing;
- b) rotating said bearing;
- c) deposition of the copolymer on said stent while rotating;
- d) removing the coated stent from said rotating bearing.

In a typical embodiment of the present invention, the stent is put on a bearing made of a suitable material, Teflon for example, then the bearing is rotated at a definite speed and a copolymer solution is deposited on the stent to be coated.

The copolymer is dissolved in a suitable organic solvent, such as dimethylacetamide, dimethylformamide, at a concentration ranging from 10 to 40%, preferably from 15 to 20%. Maximum bearing rotating speed is 20 rpm.

The following examples further illustrate the invention.

#### EXAMPLE 1

A segmented thermoplastic polycarbonate urethane (Corethane<sup>(R)</sup>) was used to coat a Gianturco-Rosch stent.

To this end, 10 g of Corethane<sup>(R)</sup> 80A, commercially available as 44.84% solution, were added with 16.37 g of dimethylacetamide (DMAC), obtaining 26.37 g of a 17% Corethane<sup>(R)</sup> solution.

The solution was left in a thermostatic bath at 70-75 °C under stirring for about 5-10 hours and subsequently to ambient temperature.

Two Gianturco-Rosch stents, one single-body stent having 8 mm diameter (stent A) and one double-body stent having 7 mm diameter (stent B) were used.

To carry out the coated stent, a horizontal shaft electric motor, rotating at the speed of 2 rpm, was used, wherein a teflon cylindric bearing having a diameter equal to the stent diameter, was mounted by means of a coupling gear. The stent was inserted on the cylindric bearing, the latter was fixed on the motor shaft and the rotation of the device was started.

The polymeric material solution was dropped by means of a pipette on the rotating metallic grid till complete coating of the device.

The device was kept rotating in a fume hood for 24 hours till complete solvent evaporation. The stent was removed from the mandrel using distilled water as detaching agent. A stent coated with a copolymer monolayer of a thickness of about 0.1 mm was obtained.

#### EXAMPLE 2

According to the process described in Example 1, two Gianturco-Rosch stents, the same as the above ones, were coated using a polyether urethane known under the trade name Pellethane<sup>(R)</sup> 2363 80A by Dow Chemical.

#### EXAMPLE 3

In this Example the mechanical characteristics of the coated stent according to the invention (Example 1) compared with stents coated with another kind of polyurethane are illustrated.

##### External pressure stiffness tests

This test intends to evaluate the stent stiffness to an external pressure. The importance of such a test stands in that the stent in working conditions undergoes to an external pressure by the vessel or duct wall, which could decrease the lumen, thus lowering the device efficacy.

To carry out the tests, a device simulating the effect of a pressure exerted by a biological wall was carried out. Said device consists of two elements. The former is a rectangular plexiglas bearing (3x2.5 cm)

wherein a slot (2x21mm) was obtained. The latter is an inextensible cloth ribbon. The ribbon is inserted in the plexiglas bearing forming an eyelet wherein the tested device is inserted.

By fixing the ribbon ends to the clamps of a microdynamometer it is possible to evaluate the strength necessary to determine a reduction of the stent diameter. The test was carried out both on coated stents and on the corresponding uncoated ones (stent C and D).

The results show that the coated stents have a higher stiffness to an external pressure than the uncoated stents, as shown in Table 1 below.

TABLE 1

Strength necessary to decrease diameter.				
DIAMETER DECREASE (mm)	STRENGTH (N)			
	Stent A	Stent C	Stent B	Stent D
1	0.88	0.14	0.72	0.63
2	2.84	0.22	2.96	1.15
3	7.78	0.40	6.96	2.03
4	8.50	0.64	11.08	3.70

#### Adhesion test

Adhesion test intends to compare adhesion ability of the two polymer materials to the stent metallic structure (AISI 316 stainless steel). The choice of this test comes from the observation that better adhesion ability between polymeric material and metal tends to minimize the problems linked to the stent insertion in the catheter and its release in the biological environment. Accordingly, the results of said test are deemed to provide useful informations about the better metal-polymer matching.

The test was carried out according to ASTM C 794-80.

#### Sample preparation

The above described polymer solution was poured into cylindrical molds sealed on a glass plate; 2 mm wide-metal stripes (AISI 316 stainless steel) were immersed in the molds.

The molds containing the solution and the steel stripes were put in a vacuum oven at 70 °C for 24 hours.

After complete solvent evaporation, samples, wherein part of the metal stripe was completely immersed in the polymeric material, sizing 5.4x20 mm, were thus obtained.

The instrument used for the tests was a microdynamometer (Minimat, Polymer Laboratories). Tests were carried out at a clamp separation speed of 3 mm/min till detachment of metal from polymer material.

The results are shown in Table 2 below.

TABLE 2

	Adhesion test of Corethane <sup>(R)</sup> and Pellethane <sup>(R)</sup> to stainless steel AISI 316.		
5		Corethane	Pellethane
10	Disjunction stress (MPa)	0.43	0.34
		0.53	0.28
		0.50	0.26
		0.32	0.32
		0.54	0.40
		0.29	0.25
		0.31	0.31
		0.24	0.29
15	Mean	0.40	0.31
	Std. Dev.	0.12	0.05

**EXAMPLE 4**

In this example the resistance of the coated stents according to the present invention to the biological environment, in the present case prolonged contact with bile, was assayed. Bile was withdrawn from patients suffering from extraepatic bile duct obstruction and contacted with coated stents according to the present invention.

After 1 month of contact, no bile deposits were observed on the polymer coating.

**Claims**

1. A metal stent coated with a thermoplastic polycarbonate urethane.
2. A stent according to claim 1, characterized in that Corethane<sup>(R)</sup> is the polycarbonate urethane.
3. A stent according to claims 1-2, characterized in that said stent is selected from the group consisting of Palmaz, Palmaz-Schatz, Gianturco, Gianturco-Roubin, Gianturco-Rosch, Strecker, memory-shape stents.
4. A stent according to claims 1-3, characterized in that a Gianturco-Rosch stent is said stent.
5. A process for coating a stent of claims 1-4, said process comprising the steps of:
  - a) positioning the stent in its expanded configuration on a horizontal rotating bearing;
  - b) rotating said bearing;
  - c) deposition of the copolymer on said stent while rotating;
  - d) removing the coated stent from said rotating bearing.
6. A process according to claim 5, characterized in that said stent is coated with a monolayer of said copolymer.
7. A process according to claim 5, characterized in that said stent is coated with a multilayer of said copolymer.
8. A process according to claims 5-7, characterized in that said horizontal rotating bearing is made of Teflon<sup>(R)</sup>.
9. A process according to claims 5-8, characterized in that said horizontal rotating bearing can be rotated up to a maximum speed of 20 rpm.
10. A process according to claims 5-9, characterized in that said copolymer is deposited on said stent by means of a solution of said copolymer.

11. A process according to claim 10, characterized in that an organic solvent is used in said solution.

12. A process according to claim 11, characterized in that dimethylacetamide is said solvent.

5 13. A process according to claims 10-12, characterized in that the concentration of said copolymer is comprised between 10 and 40% w/w.

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55



European Patent  
Office

## EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number  
EP 94 10 8354

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.5)
Y	EP-A-0 518 704 (SCIMED LIFE SYSTEMS) * claims 3,4,9-13 * ---	1-3	A61L31/00
D,Y	EP-A-0 461 375 (CORVITA) * page 3, line 9 - line 13; claims 15,21 * ---	1-3	
Y	FR-A-2 546 170 (AKZO) * page 4, line 7 - line 21; claim 20 * ---	1-3	
A	DE-A-36 43 465 (AKZO) -----		
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.5)
			A61L A61F
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search THE HAGUE		Date of completion of the search 20 September 1994	Examiner Peltre, C
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS			
X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons ..... @ : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	